

Orlando '94

Pre-print of Paper to be presented at the U.S. FHWA "International Conference On Design and Construction of Deep Foundations", Orlando, Florida, December 6-8, 1994

Soil Modeling for Pile Vibratory Driving

Alain E. Holeyman¹ and Christian Legrand²

Abstract

A rational procedure to model the dynamic nonlinear behavior of the skin friction of piles and sheet piles during vibratory driving is presented. The model is based on the fundamental analysis of the dynamic behavior of a cylinder embedded in a semi-infinite medium. Cylindrical shear waves propagating away from the vibrated pile are evaluated using a one-dimensional radial discretization of the soil surrounding the pile. Elements of earthquake engineering normally used to assess liquefaction potential are applied to evaluate skin friction degradation upon cyclic shear stress. Degradation and excess pore-pressure buildup charts are presented based on correlations derived from the friction ratio as measured in a CPT test.

Introduction

This paper presents the results of the preliminary development of a detailed model aimed at representing the dynamic response of soils under cyclic loading induced by vibratory driving. The source of the cyclic loading acting upon the soil is a pile or sheet pile being activated by a vibrator. The vibrations are essentially vertical and as a first approximation the vibration pattern of the surrounding soil can be considered to possess cylindrical symmetry.

The sheet pile will be represented in a first step by a rigid mass acted upon by the inertial effects of the vibrator eccentric masses, and deriving restraint from the dynamic reactions of the surrounding soil. The model used to represent the soil reactions is described below.

¹Principal, EarthSpectives, 6262 Sierra Palos Rd., Irvine, CA 92715-3945

²Head of Geotechnical Division, BBRI, Brussels, Belgium

Loading Conditions and Model Geometry

Although stresses are often used as the primary boundary condition in laboratory experiments, it is our opinion that in the case of a vibrating sheet pile, the governing boundary condition should be kinematic rather than dynamic: calculations performed with a single degree of freedom (SDOF) program (Holeyman, 1993a) show that the vibratory behavior (i.e. the amplitude of the movement) of the sheet pile itself is not strongly influenced by the soil resistance. In soft soils the shear stress resisting the sheet pile movement is small, while it is higher in stiffer soils. It is therefore of interest to base the present soil model on strain-controlled cyclic shear tests rather than stress-controlled shear tests.

For the purpose of the present analysis, the soil reactions will be separated into skin friction and toe resistance. Toe resistance will be represented by a SDOF, commonly utilized in wave equation calculations (Holeyman, 1988). Because of its preponderance in the study of vibration and penetration of sheet piles, the skin friction will be addressed by a more complex model that aims at encompassing the fundamental aspects of the vibratory behavior of the soil around the sheet pile.

The geometric shape of the proposed soil model surrounding the sheet pile or pile has cylindrical symmetry, as shown in Fig. 1. It is a disk with a thickness that slightly increases linearly with the radius. Normalized to the penetration depth of the sheet pile, it has a thickness provided by the following equation:

$$h = h_0 \cdot (1 + 0.03 \cdot (r - r_0)/r_0) \quad (1)$$

The increase of the disk thickness with radial distance r tends to simulate the geometrical damping provided by the half space of soil located below the toe of the sheet pile. The equivalent radius r_0 of the sheet pile is obtained from perimeter considerations. The outer boundary of the model is set at a radial distance R_m based




Fig. 1 - Model Geometry

on a trade-off between calculation time and zone where the evaluation of the vibrations is of interest. An energy absorbing boundary condition in accordance with plane-strain elasticity theory (Novak et al, 1978) limits the lateral extent of the model

at a distance large enough to ensure that deformations stay within the elastic range and to avoid artificial energy reflections.

The system of cylindrical waves propagating within the geometric model will be calculated by discretizing the medium into concentric rings that possess individual masses and that transmit forces to their neighbors. The shear force-displacement relationship between successive rings will be established based on the stress-strain relationship. Inter-ring reactions T_i are obtained based on ring displacements u_i using the following relationships:

$$T_i = 2 \pi r_i \cdot h_i \cdot G' \cdot (u_{i+1} - u_i) \quad (2)$$


with G' representing the generalized secant shear modulus as discussed below. Movement of the rings is evaluated from the time integration of the laws of motion, and in particular from the acceleration resulting from the net unbalanced loads acting on each ring.

Constitutive Relationship

The constitutive relationship proposed for the representation of the large-strain, dynamic and cyclic shear stress-strain behavior of the medium surrounding the vibrating sheet pile will be described by several laws addressing the following elements:

- Static stress-strain law expressing nonlinear behavior under monotonic loading and hysteresis upon strain reversal,
- Shear modulus at small strains and ultimate shear strength based on soil characterization: nature, void ratio, overconsolidation ratio or based on correlations with q_c and FR obtained from CPT tests,
- Softening and increase of hysteretic damping with increasing strain based on soil characterization,
- Effect of strain rate on initial shear modulus and ultimate strength,
- Degradation of properties resulting from the application of numerous cycles,
- Generation of excess pore pressure leading to liquefaction and substantial loss of resistance, and
- Accommodation of variable strain amplitude history.

The following paragraphs address these components of the constitutive relationship.



G_{max} = Shear Modulus G at Very Small Strains

$$= \rho \cdot v_s^2$$

v_s = Shear Wave Velocity

ρ = Mass Density

Fig. 2 - Soil Behavior under Constant Cyclic Shear Strain Amplitude Loading
(Sketch from Vucetic, 1993; 1994)

Static Stress-strain behavior

A typical soil response to uniform cyclic strains is represented in Fig. 2, which highlights or allows one to derive the following fundamental parameters:

- G_{max}: initial (or tangent) shear modulus
- S_{max}: ultimate shear strength, revealed at large strains
- G_s: secant (or equivalent) shear modulus
- λ: hysteretic (or intrinsic) damping ratio = $\Delta W / 2\pi\gamma_c\tau_c$,
with ΔW = Energy lost in a given cycle

Both G_s and λ are strain-dependent parameters that need to be described by specific laws within a given cycle. G_{max} and S_{max} are shown to decrease with the number of cycles (cyclic degradation).

Initial Shear modulus and ultimate shear strength

Numerous studies have dealt with the initial shear modulus to be used in earthquake engineering. However, because most of them are supported by parameters determined in the laboratory, we recommend an empirical approach based on correlations with CPT data (cone resistance q_c, local skin friction f_s, and friction ratio FR), as follows:

$$G_{max} = K \cdot q_c \quad \text{with} \quad K=15 \quad (3)$$

$$S_{max} = \text{Beta} \cdot f_s \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Beta} = 0.65 + 0.35 \cdot \text{Tanh } 1.5 \cdot (\text{FR} - 2\%) \quad (4)$$

Secant Shear Modulus and Hysteretic Damping

As can be observed in Fig. 2, G_s decreases with the shear strain during the initial monotonic loading. The curve that represents the initial monotonic loading is referred to as the initial "backbone" curve, because it also serves as the basis to generate the family of curves corresponding to unloading and reloading. Kondner's mathematical formulation (1963) is frequently employed to describe the initial backbone curve in earthquake engineering:

$$\eta = \tau / \tau_{max} = \delta / (\delta + 1) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta = \gamma / \gamma_r = \gamma \cdot G_{max} / \tau_{max} \quad (5)$$

It is of interest to show the hyperbolic law using reduced variables η, the mobilization ratio and δ, the relative shear, as shown in Fig. 3. γ_r is called the reference strain. Two of the parameters G_{max}, γ_r, and τ_{max} are generally adjusted from laboratory experiments. In the case of CPT data, we propose to use τ_{max} = S_{max} per equation (4) and

$$\gamma_r \cong S_{max}/G_{max} = \text{Beta} \cdot FR / K \quad (6)$$




Fig. 3 - Hyperbolic law to evaluate G/G_{max} and Relative Energy Loss

From the point of maximum straining, the unloading curve is described by the following equation, in accordance with Masing's rules 1 and 2 (Masing, 1926):

$$\tau - \tau_0 = (\gamma - \gamma_0) / (1/G_{max} + (\gamma - \gamma_0)/2\tau_{max}) \quad (7)$$

The energy contained in a loop depends for a given soil on the amplitude of the cyclic strain. Empirical data collected in laboratory tests indicates that the damping ratio increases with γ_c as the soil undergoes higher plastic deformations.

We propose to utilize the unifying approach recently developed by Dobry and Vucetic (see Dobry and Vucetic (1987), Vucetic and Dobry (1991), and Vucetic (1993 and 1994) to accommodate the influence of the nature of the material characterized by the plasticity index, as indicated in Fig. 4. The relative energy loss (or $\pi \times$ damping ratio) as obtained by directly integrating the area defined by a loop in the stress-strain diagram has also been represented in Fig. 3 to demonstrate the ability of the hyperbolic law to reproduce experimental observations. The PI influencing the value of the reference strain γ_r available from other studies was correlated to the friction ratio using:

$$PI = 50 \cdot (1 + \text{Tanh} (FR - 3.5\%)) \quad (8)$$

Strain Rate Effects

Although it is well known that undrained modulus and shear strength increase with increasing strain rate ($\dot{\gamma}$), experimental data generated under different apparatuses and loading conditions lead to different conclusions. Based on our review of the literature, we believe that a viscosity mechanism would provide a satisfactory

framework for understanding the strain rate effect observed when comparing fast and slow undrained monotonic stress-strain curves, as well as for explaining the roundness of the loop tips during a sinusoidal strain-controlled cyclic test. Evidence would point to the fact that sands and non plastic silts have very small viscosity in that their stress-strain loops exhibit sharp rather than rounded tips (Dobry and Vucetic, 1987).




Fig. 4 - Effect of the Plasticity Index on (a) Relative Secant Modulus
(b) soil degradation (Vucetic, 1993)

The mathematical functions proposed in the literature to represent the nonlinear viscosity also depend on the type of experimental observations. We propose to adopt a power law:

$$\tau_{dyn} = \tau_{stat} \cdot (1 + J \cdot \dot{\gamma}^n) \quad (9)$$

The advantage of that mathematical form is that resistance does not become zero at zero strain rate. The power law also requires the strain rate to vary by orders of magnitude to provide tangible increases in both the modulus and the ultimate strength. The J coefficient and n exponent depend on the nature of the soil. Based on pile driving data, we propose to use $n=0.2$ and $J=0.3 \text{ s}^{-0.2}$ for plastic soils. J should therefore essentially depend on the plasticity of the soil, and thus on the FR obtained from CPT tests, as proposed in first approximation below:

$$J = 0.10 \text{ FR} \quad (10)$$

Degradation Law

When subjected to undrained cyclic loading involving a number N of large strain cycles, the soil structure continuously deteriorates, the pore pressure increases, and the secant shear modulus decreases with N. This process known as cyclic stiffness degradation can be best characterized on the basis of strain controlled tests for the type of loading involved with the vibratory penetration of sheet piles. Typical results of strain-controlled tests are sketched in Fig. 2, where the degradation is clearly expressed by the decrease of the amplitude of the peak stress mobilized at successive cycles.

The quantification of the degradation process calls for the introduction of the degradation index Δ , defined by:

$$\tau_n = \Delta \cdot \tau_1 \quad (11)$$

Laboratory results conducted at constant cyclic strain show that in many soils, the degradation index can be approximated by the following relationship as suggested by Idriss et al (1978):

$$\Delta = N^{-t} \quad (12)$$

The exponent t, called degradation parameter, depends mainly on the amplitude of the cyclic strain and the nature of the material (PI), as suggested by Dobry and Vucetic (1988) and as indicated in Fig. 4 (Vucetic, 1993). It is noteworthy that the degradation parameter assumes a zero value at strains smaller than a cyclic "threshold" shear strain, $\gamma_{\tau U}$. The threshold strain increases with the plasticity of the soil, as suggested in Fig. 4.

Laws to represent the degradation based on CPT results are proposed as follows:

$$\gamma_{\tau U} = \text{Beta} \cdot \text{FR} / 30 \quad (13)$$

$$t = (\gamma/\gamma_{TV} - 1)^{1/2} / (\pi/2 + 25)$$

(14)

Soil liquefaction

Vibration induced compaction of saturated sands has received attention not only from the earthquake engineering community, but also from vibro-compaction specialists. Recent advances tend to indicate that build up of pore pressures (eventually leading to liquefaction) and volume reduction of cyclically loaded materials are the expression of the same phenomenon, i.e. the irreversible tendency for a particulate arrangement to achieve a denser packing when sheared back and forth.

Under drained conditions, the volume reduction is immediate. Under undrained conditions, the tendency for volume reduction is expressed by an increase in the pore water pressure (see Fig. 2), such that the effective stress is reduced to a value that may be close to zero. It is then necessary to wait for the sample to consolidate in order to see the volume reduction take place.

The strain driven evaluation of the build up of pore pressure as suggested by Dobry et al. (1979) has been adopted as it allows a direct transposition to the problem of the vibrations induced by a vertically vibrating sheet pile. It also allows one to evaluate potential changes of the void ratio based on a cyclic strain rather than stress history, as evidenced in the early tests conducted on drained sands by Youd (1972). Finally, this framework of analysis enables the threshold cyclic strain to encompass in a single concept the intrinsic relationship between degradation and pore pressure build-up, with the advantage that it can be applied to general categories of soils (sands to clays).

The excess pore pressure generated during cyclic loading has been shown (see Fig. 5) to increase with the shear strain and the number of cycles for a given soil type. We have adopted the damage parameter κ approach (Finn, 1981) to evaluate the excess pore pressure δu resulting from a particular strain history, as characterized by the following equations:

$$\delta u/\sigma' = 1/4 (\text{Rel. En. Loss}) \cdot \ln(1 + 1/2 \kappa)$$

(14)

with Relative Energy Loss given by Fig. 3, and κ = damage parameter given by:

$$\kappa = \xi e^{\Lambda \gamma} \quad \text{with} \quad \xi = \text{length of strain path}$$

$$= 4 N \gamma_c, \text{ for constant amplitude}$$

cycles

$$\Lambda = 5$$

(15)




Fig. 5 - Build up of residual pore pressure in different sands in undrained cyclic triaxial strain-controlled tests (Dobry et al, 1982)

Generalized strain history

Because the constitutive relationship parameters are generally established on the basis of constant strain, laboratory controlled tests, it is necessary to formulate a means to follow the dynamic behavior of the medium under the non regular types of loading present during the vibratory penetration of a sheet pile: start-up and turn-off, or progressive modification of soil properties resulting from degradation. Masing rules 3 and 4 have thus been applied to accommodate non-repetitive straining paths.

Degradation can also be represented under irregular loading, provided that a degraded backbone curve is used instead of the initial backbone curve. The degraded backbone curve is completely defined by the degradation index Δ , the equivalent value of which must be ascertained based on the amplitude and number of previous straining cycles. This will require the updating of the current degradation index using the following equations:

$$N_{eq} = \Delta^{(-1/t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{new} = (N_{eq} + 1)^{-t} \quad (13)$$

Modeling Results

The CPT has been chosen as the basic sounding upon which the evaluation of the vibratory penetration of sheet piles is to be conducted. It provides simple, yet ad hoc parameters that can be related directly or indirectly to the parameters

necessary to model the soil behavior. Because the proposed approach is based on desk generated correlations, full scale tests will be used to refine the correlations by matching the penetration speeds and vibration levels calculated by the model with those measured in the field.

At this stage of reporting of the development of the model, the reasonableness of the proposed constitutive law and correlation can be ascertained by graphically representing numerical results derived from our comprehensive set of assumptions. Samples of those graphical results are presented in Figs 6, 7, and 8. Comparison of Fig. 6 with Fig. 4c, Fig. 7 with Fig. 4a, and Fig. 8 with Fig. 5, respectively, provides a measure of the preliminary satisfactory agreement between the general trends depicted by the constitutive law proposed herein and available laboratory results.




Fig. 6 - Degradation Parameter versus Shear Strain for different Friction Ratios

Conclusions

The constitutive relationship governing the nonlinear cyclic behavior of soil under cylindrical shear as produced by sheet pile vibratory driving has been developed and presented. The developed relationship highlights degradation of the soil resistance under cyclic loading as a key parameter in modeling vibratory penetration. Confirmation of the proposed correlations between constitutive parameters and results of CPT tests requires incorporating the constitutive relationship into a radial discrete model and the comparison of calculated physical features, such as penetration speed and ground vibration levels, with those measured during full scale penetration tests.




Fig. 7 - Degradation versus Strain (Friction Ratio = 2%)




Fig. 8 - Pore Pressure versus Relative Strain for different Numbers of Cycles

Acknowledgments

The study reported herein was completed within the framework of BRITE/EURAM research contract CT91-0561, "High Performance Vibratory Pile Drivers Based on Novel Electromagnetic Actuation Systems and Improved Understanding of Soil Dynamics", subsidized by the European Union. The joint-venture research team included the following prime contractors: the University of Sheffield, UK., Jan de Nul, Belgium, Belgian Building Research Institute (BBRI), Belgium, and Procédés Techniques de Construction (PTC), France. The soil modeling portion of the research was subcontracted by BBRI to the first author.

References

- Dobry, R., Ladd, R.S., Yokel, F.Y., Chung, R.M., and Powell, D. (1982). "Prediction of Pore Water Pressure Buildup and Liquefaction of Sands During Earthquakes by the Cyclic Strain Method." National Bureau of Standards Building Science Series 138, July 1982, 150 pp.
- Dobry, R. and Swiger, W.F. (1979). "Threshold Strain and Cyclic Behavior of Cohesionless Soils." *Proc. 3rd ASCE/EMDE Specialty Conference*. Austin, Texas, pp. 521-525.
- Dobry, R. and Vucetic, M. (1987). "State-of-the-Art Report: Dynamic Properties and Response of Soft Clay Deposits." *Proceedings of the Intl. Symposium on Geotechnical Eng. of Soft Soils*, Mexico City, Vol. 2, pp. 51-87.
- Drnevich, V.P., Hall, J.R., Jr., and Richart, F.E., Jr. (1967). "Effects of Amplitude of Vibration on the Shear Modulus of Sand." *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Wave Propagation and Dynamic Properties of Earth Materials*, Albuquerque, N.M., pp. 189-199.
- Finn, W. D. L. (1981) "Liquefaction Potential: Developments Since 1976", *Proceedings, Intl. Conf. on Recent Advances in Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics*, St. Louis, Missouri, Vol. II, pp. 655-681.
- Hardin, B.O. and Black, W.L. (1968). "Vibration Modulus of Normally Consolidated Clay." *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, ASCE, Vol. 94, No. SM2, Proc. Paper 5833, pp. 353-369.
- Holeyman, A. (1985) "Dynamic non-linear skin friction of piles," *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Penetrability and Drivability of Piles*, San Francisco, 10 August 1985, Vol. 1, pp. 173-176.
- Holeyman, A. (1988) "Modeling of Pile Dynamic Behavior at the Pile Base during Driving," *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on the Application of Stress-Wave Theory to Piles*, Ottawa, May 1988, pp. 174-185.
- Holeyman, A. (1993a) "HYPERVIB1, An analytical model-based computer program to evaluate the penetration speed of vibratory driven sheet Piles", Research report prepared for BBRI, June, 23p.
- Holeyman, A. (1993b) "HYPERVIBIIa, An detailed numerical model proposed for Future Computer Implementation to evaluate the penetration speed of vibratory driven sheet Piles", Research report prepared for BBRI, September, 54p.
- Idriss, I.M., Dobry, R., and Singh, R.D. (1978). "Nonlinear Behavior of Soft Clays during Cyclic Loading." *J. Geotechnical Engineering Div.*, ASCE, 104(GT12), pp. 1427- 1447.

- Kondner, R. L., (1963). "Hyperbolic Stress-Strain Response: Cohesive Soils." *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, ASCE, Vol. 89, No. SM1, pp. 115-143, Jan.
- Masing, G. (1926), "Eigenspannungen und Verfeistigung beim Messing", *Proceedings of Second International Congress of Applied Mechanics*, pp. 332-335.
- Novak, M., Nogami, T., and Aboul-Ella, F. (1978). "Dynamic Soil Reactions for Plane Strain Case", *J. Engrg. Mech. Div.*, ASCE, 104(4), 953-959.
- NRC (1985). "Liquefaction of Soils During Earthquakes." National Research Council Committee on Earthquake Engineering, Report No. CETS-EE-001, Washington, D.C.
- Seed, H.B. and Idriss, I.M. (1970). "Soil Moduli and Damping Factors for Dynamic Response Analyses." Earthquake Engineering Research Center, College of Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Report No. EERC 70-10.
- Seed, H.B. and De Alba, P. (1986) "Use of SPT and CPT Tests for Evaluating the Liquefaction Resistance of Sands" *Proc. INSITU 86*, VA, 22p.
- Vucetic, M. and Dobry, R. (1988). "Degradation of Marine Clays Under Cyclic Loading. *ASCE Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 114, No.2, pp.133-149.
- Vucetic, M. and Dobry, R. (1991). "Effect of Soil Plasticity on Cyclic Response." *ASCE Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 117, No. 1, pp. 89- 107.
- Youd, L.T. (1972). "Compaction of Sands by Repeated Shear Straining." *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, Proc. ASCE, Vol. 98, No. SM7, pp. 709-725.
- Vucetic, M. (1993). "Cyclic Threshold Shear Strains of Sands and Clays", Research Report, UCLA Dept. of Civil Engineering, May 1993.
- Vucetic, M. (1994). "Cyclic Threshold Shear Strains of Sands and Clays", Paper in print, *ASCE Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*.